PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5:		(11) International Publication Number: WO 94/28024
C07K 15/14, 17/08, 17/10, C12N 15/16, A61K 37/24, 39/385, 47/48	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 8 December 1994 (08.12.94
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US (22) International Filing Date: 31 May 1994 (3)		KR, LK, MG, MN, MW, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SE
(30) Priority Data: 08/069,591 1 June 1993 (01.06.93)	U	S Published With international search report.
(71) Applicant: ENZON, INC. [US/US]; 40 Kingsbridg Piscataway, NJ 08854 (US).	ge Roa	i.
(72) Inventors: CHYI, Lee; 9 Landing Lane, Princeton, NJ 08550 (US). CHO-OK, Myung; 166 A Ced Highland Park, NJ 08904 (US).	Junctio ar Lan	i, ;
(74) Agents: MERCANTI, Michael, N. et al.; Enzon, Kingbridge Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854 (US).	Inc., 4	0
(54) Title: CARBOHYDRATE-MODIFIED POLYMER C	ONJU	GATES WITH ERYTHROPOLETIC ACTIVITY
(57) Abstract		

Biologically active conjugates of glycoproteins having erythropoietic activity and having at least one oxidized carbohydrate moiety covalently linked to a non-antigenic polymer are disclosed. Methods of preparing the conjugates and treatment methods employing the conjugates are also disclosed.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

Austria ·	GB.	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
Australia	GE	Georgia	•	Malawi
Barbados	GN	Guinea		Niger
Belgium	GR	Greece	• • • •	Netherlands
Burkina Paso	HU	Hungary		Norway
Bulgaria	IE	Ireland		New Zealand
Benin	rr	Italy		Poland
Brazil	JР			Portugal
Belarus	•-	•		Romania
Canada				Russian Federation
Central African Republic				Sudan
				Sweden
_	KR			
		•		Slovenia
				Slovakia
				Senegal
				Chad
				Togo
-				Tajikistan
				Trinidad and Tobago
				Ukraine
-				United States of America
				Uzbekistan
	MM	Mongona	VN	Vict Nam
Gibon				
	Australia Barbados Belgium Burkina Faso Bulgaria Benin Brazil Belarus	Australia GE Barbados GN Belgium GR Burkina Faso HU Bulgaria IE Benin IT Brazil JP Belarus KE Canada KG Central African Republic KP Congo Switzerland KR Côte d'Ivoire KZ Cameroon LI China LK Czechoslovakia LU Czech Republic LV Germany MC Demark MD Spain MG Finland ML France MN	Australia GE Georgia Barbados GN Guinea Belgium GR Greece Burkina Faso HU Hungary Bulgaria IE Ireland Benin IT Italy Brazil JP Japan Belarus KE Kenya Canada KG Kyrgystan Central African Republic KP Democratic People's Republic of Korea Switzerland KR Republic of Korea Cote d'Ivoire KZ Kazakhstan Cameroon LI Liechtenstein China LK Sri Lanka Czechoalovakia LU Lunembourg Czech Republic LV Latvia Gernany MC Monaco Demark MD Republic of Moldova Spain MG Madagascar Finland MI Maii France MN Mongolia	Australia GE Georgia MW Barbados GN Guinea NE Belgium GR Greece NI Burkina Faso HU Hungary NO Bulgaria IE Ireland NZ Benin IT Italy PL Brazil JP Japan PT Belarus KE Kenya RO Canada KG Kyrgystan RU Central African Republic KP Democratic People's Republic SD congo of Korea SE Switzerland KR Republic of Korea SE Switzerland KR Republic of Korea SE Switzerland KR Republic of Korea SI Cameroon LI Liechtenstein SN China LK Sri Lanka TD Czechoslovakia LU Luxembourg TG Czech Republic LV Latvia TJ Germany MC Monaco TT Demark MD Republic of Moldova UA Spain MG Madagascar US Finland ML Maii UZ France MN Mongoita VN

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

CARBOHYDRATE-MODIFIED POLYMER CONJUGATES WITH ERYTHROPOIETIC ACTIVITY

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 672,696 filed on March 18, 1991, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to conjugates of glycoproteins having erythropoietic activity with non-antigenic polymers. The conjugates exhibit erythropoietic activities comparable to that of the corresponding non-conjugated glycoproteins.

EPO is a glycoprotein and a colony-stimulating factor regulating the formation of erythrocytes. Exogeneous EPO is indicated in the treatment of anemic disorders, hematopoietic dysfunction disorders and related diseases.

The coupling of peptides and polypeptides to similar water-soluble polyalkylene PEG (PAO's) is disclosed by U.S. Patent No. 4,179,337. Physiologically active polypeptides modified with PEG exhibit dramatically reduced immunogenicity and antigenicity yet circulate in the bloodstream considerably longer than unconjugated proteins. disclosure of this patent is incorporated by reference herein. Examples of such therapeutic protein conjugates include tissue plasminogen activator, interleukin II and hemoglobin.

To conjugate polyalkylene oxides, the hydroxyl end-groups of the polymer must first be converted into reactive functional groups. This process is frequently referred to as "activation" and the product is called an "activated polyalkylene oxide." In most instances, covalent attachment of the polymer is effected by reacting the activated polyalkylene oxides with ϵ -amino moieties of lysine residues.

10

15

20

25

30

35

In several situations, polypeptide activity is reduced or lost when the \(\epsilon\)-amino moieties of the lysine residues are modified. Other proteins may have only a small number of available amino groups, and consequently very few polymer anchoring sites. As a result, many proteins of interest cannot be conjugated in this manner.

Both of the foregoing problems are typified by EPO. For example, human EPO only has eight free lysine residues having e-amino moieties available for polyalkylene oxide conjugation. In addition, as the degree of conjugation of these free lysine residues increases, the specific activity of the EPO decreases.

U.S. Patent No. 4,904,584 discloses oxide polyalkylene conjugation of lysine-depleted polypeptide variants, including EPO. The variants have lysine attachment sites and allegedly provide a more homogeneous and less random attachment of polyalkylene oxide chains. The disclosure of this patent is limited to covalent attachment of amino-reactive polyalkylene oxides to lysines. Such variants are rare impractical. The applicants herein, however, have sought an alternative because substitution of lysines is expensive, time-consuming, and could effect homology of the protein, as well as in vivo activity. For example, PAO conjugation with lysines can alter the charge or isoelectric point of the protein. Variations in binding, activity and/or solubility between modified and unmodified proteins have been observed.

A means by which readily available EPO and other erythropoietic glycoproteins can be polyalkylene oxide conjugated without a loss of specific activity would be highly desirable.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It has now been discovered that long-acting conjugates of non-antigenic water-soluble polymers with erythropoietic glycoproteins can be prepared having a bio-activity comparable to that of native glycoproteins.

10

15

20

25

30

35

A key to this discovery is that covalent attachment of non-antigenic water-soluble polymers to oxidized carbohydrate moieties has little effect upon the erythropoietic activity of the glycoproteins.

Therefore, in accordance with the present invention, a biologically active conjugate is provided having the ability to glycoprotein erythropoiesis and having at least one oxidized carbohydrate moiety covalently linked to a non-antigenic polymer. The conjugate is preferably EPO or a glycoprotein having erythropoietic activity covalently attached to an activated form of a polyalkylene oxide such as polyethylene glycol. Polyethylene glycols having a molecular weight of about 5,000 are preferred.

The present invention also provides methods of preparing the conjugates. The methods include the step reacting a glycoprotein having erythropoietic activity and having at least one oxidized carbohydrate moiety with an activated substantially non-antigenic polymer having a linking group for attaching the polymer to an oxidized carbohydrate. Thus, the non-antigenic polymer covalently attaches to the oxidized carbohydrate moiety of the glycoprotein. Preferred methods include reacting EPO with an activated form of a polyalkylene oxide such as a hydrazide, hydrazine, semicarbazide, thiosemicarbazide, amine or hydroxylamine activated polyalkylene oxide.

The invention also provides methods of treating anemia or other conditions associated with reduced endogenous erythropoietin or erythropoiesis. In this aspect of the invention, treatment includes administering an effective amount of the conjugates described herein to mammals requiring such therapy.

As a result of the present invention, conjugates having substantially prolonged erythropoietic activity <u>in vivo</u> are provided. These high activity conjugates are substantially resistant to <u>in vivo</u> hydrolysis, and thus require less frequent

10

15

20

25

30

35

administration and often lower dosages when compared to unmodified EPO and lysine-conjugates thereof.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

conjugates of the present invention include glycoproteins having the ability to effect erythropoiesis, the formation of erythrocytes. glycoproteins can be prepared or obtained from a variety of sources, including recombinant or mammalian extracted EPO. aspect, the EPO is prepared using In one recombinant techniques. The recombinant forms may be human or animal based, natural or mutant strains. In this regard, the recombinantly prepared EPO such as that disclosed in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,703,008 or 4,835,260 may be used herein. The disclosure of these patents are hereby incorporated by reference. The lysine depleted EPO disclosed by the above-cited U.S. Patent No. 4,904,584 may also be used herein. The disclosure this patent is likewise hereby incorporated by reference. Alternatively, EPO may be obtained from mammalian sources such as human, bovine, ovine or porcine materials. See, for example, U.S. Patent Nos. 4,465,624 or 4,397,840, the disclosures of which are also hereby incorporated by reference.

The glycoprotein EPO has been characterized as a monomer weighing about 30,000 daltons having three possible gycosylation sites. The protein: carbohydrate ratio has been estimated to be about 3:1 suggesting that there are a number of sites that can be made available for polymer attachment upon oxidation of the carbohydrate moieties of the glycoprotein.

As used herein, the expression "the ability to effect erythropoiesis" means any glycoprotein, glycopolypeptide or portion thereof which demonstrates in vivo erythropoietic activity. These glycoproteins are prepared by using techniques known to those of ordinary skill in the art such as tissue culture, extraction from animal sources or by recombinant DNA methodologies. Transgenic sources of glycoproteins are

10

15

20

25

30

also contemplated. Such materials are obtained from transgenic animals, i.e., mice, pigs, cows, etc., wherein the glycoprotein is expressed in milk, blood or tissues. Insect sources of recombinant EPO are also contemplated. See, for example, Krantz, Blood, 77(3), 419-34 (February 1, 1991).

The non-antigenic water-soluble polymers included in the conjugates are preferably polyalkylene Within this group of substances are alphaoxides. substituted polyalkylene oxide derivatives such as methoxypolyethylene glycols or other suitable alkylsubstituted derivatives such as C_1-C_4 groups. preferred, however, that the non-antigenic polymer be a monomethyl-substituted PEG homopolymer. Alternative polyalkylene oxides such as other polyethylene glycol homopolymers, polypropylene glycol homopolymers, other alkyl-polyethylene oxides, bis-polyethylene oxides and co-polymers or blocked co-polymers of polyalkylene oxides are also useful.

The bis-activated polymers can be homo or heterobifunctional. Thus, the artisan can prpare crosslinked EPO conjugates or three-part conjugates containing EPO, a polymer and an additional substance which enhances bioactivity. Such substances include interleukins such as IL-3 or IL-6, growth factors, stimulating factors such as CSF, GM-CSF, and the like, or peptides or other moieties known in the art to enhance the activity of glycopolypeptides in vivo.

In those aspects of the invention in which PEG-based polymers are used, it is preferred that they have number average molecular weights between about 200 and about 100,000 daltons, and preferably between about 2,000 and about 20,000 daltons. A molecular weight of 5,000 daltons is most preferred.

35 Alternative non-antigenic polymeric substances include materials such as dextrans, polyvinyl pyrrolidones, polysaccharides, starches, polyvinyl alcohols, polyacrylamides or other similar non-

10

15

20

25

30

35

immunogenic polymers. Those of ordinary skill in the art realize that the foregoing is merely illustrative and unintended to restrict the type of non-antigenic polymers suitable for use herein.

One or more polymer chains are covalently attached to oxidized carbohydrate moieties of glycoprotein by reacting suitably activated nonantigenic polymers with reactive carbonyl groups on oxidized carbohydrate moieties of the glycoprotein. Reactive carbonyl groups can be generated on saccharide units of carbohydrate moieties of glycoproteins, for example, by oxidizing vicinal diols of the carbohydrate moieties with excess periodate utilizing a reaction well understood by those of ordinary skill in the art, or enzymatically, e.g., by use of galactose oxidase. For purposes of the present invention, the reactive carbonyl group is defined as being either a ketone or aldehyde excluding other carboxyl-containing Aldehyde groups are preferred, because they are more reactive than ketones.

Reactive carbonyl groups can be added to the glycoprotein, preferably to a targeted portion thereof, by reacting it with a polysaccharide functionalized to include a hydrazine, hydrazide, hydroxyamine, semicarbazide or thiosemicarbazide group. The glycoprotein is then oxidized with periodate to generate reactive carbonyl groups for conjugation with an activated polymer of the present invention.

"Suitably activated non-antigenic polymers" is understood by those of ordinary skill in the art to mean that the polymer is functionalized to include a group reactive with oxidized carbohydrate moieties, such as hydrazide.

Preferred hydrazide activated polymers of the present invention are acyl hydrazides having a structure corresponding to Formula I:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{O} \\ \text{II} \\ \text{R-Z-C-NH-NH}_2 \end{array} \tag{I}$$

10

15

20

25

30

wherein R is one of the above-disclosed water-soluble non-antigenic polymers and Z is O, NH, S or a lower alkyl group containing up to ten carbon atoms. Z is preferably O, which forms a type of hydrazide linkage known as a semicarbazide linkage.

The semicarbazide derivative in which Z is O is prepared by reacting, for example, methoxypolyethylene glycol-N-succinimide (SC-m-PEG) described in U.S. Patent No. 5,122,614 with an excess of hydrazine in an organic solvent in which the reactants are soluble, such as methanol, methylene chloride, toluene and the like. The disclosure of U.S. Patent No. 5,122,614 with respect to the preparation of methoxypolyethylene glycol-N-succinimide carbonate is hereby incorporated by reference. After the reaction is completed, the solvents and excess hydrazine are then removed utilizing conventional techniques.

The preparation of semicarbazide and other hydrazide polymer derivatives is described with reference to m-PEG for purposes of illustration, not limitation. Similar products would be obtained with any of the polymers suitable for use with the present invention, and it will be clear to those of ordinary skill in the art how this preparation can be adapted to the other suitable polymers.

The reaction of the acyl hydrazides of Formula I with a glycoprotein to form a hydrazone linkage is illustrated by the reaction sequence of Scheme 1 in which R and Z are the same as described above in Formula I and R_1 and R_2 form an oxidized carbohydrate moiety of a glycoprotein on which reactive carbonyl groups have been generated:

25

30

35

The hydrazone can be reduced to the more stable alkyl hydrazide by reacting the hydrazone with, for example, $NaBH_A$ or $NaCNBH_3$.

The process of the present invention includes preparing or providing the activated polymer thereafter reacting it with a glycoprotein having erythropoietic activity and having at least one oxidized carbohydrate moiety. The carbohydrate moieties can be oxidized, for example, by reacting the glycoprotein in aqueous solution with sodium periodate or enzymatically using galactose oxidase or combination of neuraminidase and galactose oxidase as disclosed by Solomon et al., J. Chromatography, 510, 321-9 (1990). The reaction runs rapidly to completion at room temperature. The reaction medium is preferably buffered, depending upon the requirements of the glycoprotein. The glycoprotein is then recovered and separated from the excess periodate by column chromatography. Alternatively, the coupling reaction can be achieved by adding the activated polymer in situ before removing excess periodate.

The reaction is carried out in a buffer such as 0.1 M phosphate buffer at a pH of from about 5.0 to about 8.0 in the dark. The glycoprotein having at least

10

15

20

25

30

35

one oxidized carbohydrate moiety is reacted with an appropriate amount of the activated polymer, which is typically present in a several-fold molar excess over the glycoprotein. The polymeric excess will range from about 5 to about 500 molar ratio excess and preferably from about 50 to about 300 molar ratio excess of the polymer of the glycoprotein. The reaction is carried out at temperatures from about 0°C to about 28°C over time periods ranging from a few minutes to as long as 24 hours. Temperatures from about 2°C to about 22°C are preferred and time periods of around about one hour are sufficient to carry out the conjugation reaction.

Following the conjugation reaction, desired product is recovered using known techniques and purified column chromatography using or apparatus, if necessary. Depending upon the reaction conditions, the conjugates have from about 1 to about 30 polymeric chains attached to the glycoprotein. controlling the temperature, reaction time, pH and molar excess of the polymer reacted with the glycoprotein, for example, the artisan can tailor the number of polymeric chains attached. In addition, different also polymers will contribute to the degree conjugation. Conjugates containing from about 2 to polymeric chains are preferred, conjugates containing from about 4 to about 7 polymeric chains are most preferred.

In another aspect, the conjugates of present invention may further include one or more nonantigenic polymer chains covalently attached to the ←-amino moieties of the lysine residues glycoprotein and/or the carbonyl groups the glycoprotein. Such conjugates thus include polymers attached at both oxidized carbohydrate moieties and lysines of the protein to further prolong circulating life.

The lysine conjugates may be formed by contacting the glycoprotein with an amine-reactive

WO 94/28024 PCT/US94/06098

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

activated non-antigenic polymer. A preferred aminereactive polymer is the polyethylene glycol-N-succinimide carbonate (SC-PEG) disclosed by U.S. Patent No. 5,122,614. Alternatively, the activated polyalkylene oxides described in U.S. Patent No. 4,179,337 may be used.

-10-

Molar excesses of SC-PEG ranging from 5 to 200 fold are contacted with the glycoprotein under the conditions similar to those described above conjugation with hydrazide activated polymers. Temperatures during the conjugation, however, preferably less than room temperature, and about 0°C to 5°C. The appropriate molar excess to attain the desired degree of lysine conjugation can be readily determined by one of ordinary skill in the art without undue experimentation.

Preferably, the glycoprotein is first reacted with the SC-PEG after oxidation of the carbohydrate moieties, followed by the removal of the unreacted The oxidized SC-PEG using conventional techniques. carbohydrate moieties of the lysine-conjugated glycoprotein are then reacted with suitably activated polymers employing the process steps described above. However, a one-pot technique may also be employed in amine-reactive polymer and oxidized carbohydrate reactive polymer are simultaneously reacted with the oxidized glycoprotein. Alternative or further modifications such as cysteine residue modification or carboxyl modification are also contemplated. example, PEG amine can also be used to react with oxidized carbohydrates to form a Schiff Base which also may be reduced in situ to a secondary amine.

Another aspect of the present invention provides methods of treatment for anemia or other hematopoietic disorders associated with low levels of or dysfunctional EPO. The method includes administering an effective amount of the compositions described herein to alleviate the condition. Those of ordinary skill in the

WO 94/28024 PCT/US94/06098

art will realize that the amount of a conjugate used in the method of the present invention will vary somewhat from patient to patient; however, conjugates capable of delivering from about 0.1 to about 500 micrograms of EPO per administration and preferably about 5 to about 100 micrograms of EPO are contemplated. The optimal dosing of the conjugate can be determined from clinical experience. Moreover, the dosage will also be dependant on the potency and pharmacokinetic profile of the EPO included in the conjugate. Factors such as patient weight, age, sex and physical condition will also affect the dosage. Nevertheless, one of ordinary skill in the art will be able to readily determine the appropriate dosage without undue experimentation.

Further in this regard, the amount of a conjugate administered in an amount that is sufficient to significantly increase hematopoiesis. The maximal dosage for humans is the highest dosage that does not cause clinically important side effects.

An important feature, however, is that by covalently linking the non-antigenic polymer and the glycoprotein as described herein by attaching the polymer chain to an oxidized carbohydrate moiety of the glycoprotein, not only are the conjugates substantially resistant to hydrolysis in vivo, the conjugates also possess erythropoietic activity comparable to that of the corresponding non-conjugated glycoprotein. The conjugates thus alleviate anemic conditions, for example, in vivo to a greater extent than prior art compositions.

The following non-limiting examples set forth below illustrate certain aspects of the invention. All parts and percentages are by weight unless otherwise noted, and all temperatures are in degrees Celsius.

EXAMPLES

MATERIALS

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Methoxypolyethylene glycol (m-PEG) was obtained from Union Carbide. The solvents used, as well

as NaCNBH₃ and NaIO₄ were obtained from Aldrich Chemicals of Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The methoxypolyethylene glycol-N-succinimide carbonate (SC-m-PEG) was prepared as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,122,614 using m-PEG having a molecular weight of about 5,000.

EXAMPLE 1

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Synthesis of mPEG-Beta Alanine-Hydrazide

m-PEG (MW_5000, 100 g, 20 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (250 mL) and azeotropically dried for two hours under reflux. The solution was brought to 25:C, diluted with methylene chloride (50 mL) and then treated with phosgene (30 mL of 20% toluene solution, 56 mmol) overnight. The solvents and excess phosgene were removed by rotary evaporation under vacuum. The solid residue of polymeric chloroformate was dissolved in methylene chloride (90 mL) and treated with beta-alanine ethyl ester hydrochloride (6.1 g, 40 mmol) predissolved in methylene chloride (total volume 30 mL) followed by triethylamine (8.4 mL, 60 mmol). Approximately 30 minutes later, the solution was diluted with toluene (50 mL), filtered and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in warm (50°C) ethyl acetate (500 mL) and filtered through celite. The filtrate was diluted with isopropanol to a total volume of 1000 mL and left overnight at 25°C to facilitate precipitation Another recrystallization of the of the product. product from isopropanol was performed. The yield of the dried m-PEG-beta-alanine ethyl ester was 98 g (95%).

The ester (62 g, 12 mmol) was then dissolved in pyridine (120 mL) and treated with hydrazine (12 mL, 0.375 mole) under reflux for six hours. The solution was rotary evaporated to dryness and the residue crystallized twice from isopropanol and dried in vacuo over P_2O_5 . The yield was 60 g (97%).

The absence of free hydrazine in the product was ascertained by reverse-phase (C-18) thin-layer

10

15

20

25

30

35

chromatography in water/methanol (3:1) using TNBS spraying solution for detection.

EXAMPLE 2

Synthesis of m-PEG-Carbazate

SC-m-PEG (103 g, 20 mmol) was added to an anhydrous methanol solution containing hydrazine (16 g, 0.50 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for two hours and then dried in vacuo. The residue was then dissolved in methylene chloride and filtered. After drying, the filtrate was recrystallized and the final product was found to have an IR spectrum corresponding to PEG-carbazate. This structure was further verified with Carbon-13 NMR and proton NMR.

The product was found to be free of hydrazine by reverse-phase (C-18) thin-layer chromatography in water/methanol (3:1) using trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS) spraying solution for detection.

EXAMPLE 3

Carbohydrate Modification Of EPO With m-PEG-β-Alanine Hydrazide

11.9 mg of EPO (human recombinant Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cell culture), was dialyzed against 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline buffer solution, pH 6.0 a Centricon-10 (a product of the Amicon Corporation of Beverly, MA). Then. 200 mM sodium periodate was added to make final 10 mM of concentration, and the solution was stirred for one hour at 4°C in the dark. 480 mg of the PEG-β-alanine hydrazide of Example 1 (250-fold molar excess) was added to the solution and the mixture was stirred at 4°C over The unreacted m-PEG-β-alanine hydrazide was night. removed by dialysis into a buffer solution having a pH of 7.0. The modification was verified by SDS-gel and size exclusion chromatography. The degree conjugation was 6 to 7 PEG's per EPO molecule. The EPO activity was measured by colorimetric assay with DAI-K cells, a murine lymphoblastic cell line dependent on IL-3, GM-CSF and EPO for growth. The cells are grown in

15

20

30

35

IMDM containing 5% FCS and incubated at 37° C in 5% CO₂ in air. The assay time is 72 hours. The cell growth is monitored by MTT dye uptake.

EXAMPLE 4

In this example, the PEG-EPO conjugates were prepared in the same manner as in Example 3, except that the activated PEG carbazate of Example 2 was used in place of the beta-alanine derivative of Example 1. The conjugates showed a similar activity.

10 EXAMPLE 5

In this example, the PEG-EPO conjugates of Examples 3 and 4 were further modified. In each case, hydrazone bonds linking the polymer to glycoprotein were reduced by using $NaBH_{\Lambda}$. In particular, the solutions containing each of respective conjugates were mixed with 0.1 molar NaBH, overnight at 4°C. Thereafter, each of the mixtures was dialyzed into 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7, to remove the excess reagents.

Alternatively, the reduction step can be done immediately after conjugation. This way, NaIO₄, the unreacted PEG and NaBH₄ can then be removed at the same time.

EXAMPLE 6

25 Modification Of EPO With SC-m-PEG

In this example, the same recombinant EPO of Example 3 was conjugated with SC-m-PEG. 7.5 mg of the EPO in citrate buffer was dialyzed against 0.1 M sodium solution, pH 7.0 using buffer phosphate The final concentration of the EPO was Centricon-10. A two-fold molar excess of the SC-m-PEG about 3 mg/mL. was added to the solution and the reaction mixture was stirred for one hour at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by adding 0.1 M glycine. The excess SC-m-PEG was removed by centrifugation. The m-PEG-EPO was purified on an anion exchange chromatography column. EPO activity was measured as in Example 3. The degree WO 94/28024 PCT/US94/06098

of conjugation was two PEG's per EPO molecule and the activity was 11.6 pg/mL.

EXAMPLE 7

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Modification Of EPO With SC-m-PEG

In this example, the recombinant EPO of Example 3 was conjugated with SC-m-PEG as in Example 6; however, 5.1 mg of the EPO was reacted with a four-fold molar excess of SC-m-PEG. The m-PEG-EPO was purified, the modification was checked and the EPO activity was measured as in Example 3. The degree of conjugation was four PEG's per EPO molecule and the activity was 26 pg/mL.

EXAMPLE 8

In this example, the circulating half-lives of three PEG-EPO conjugates prepared above (Ex. 3, 6 and 7) were compared to the recombinant EPO from which they were prepared in rats. Twelve rats about 300 ± 25 g were used for this experiment. Three rats were injected i.p. with the native human recombinant EPO and three each were similarly injected with the m-PEG-EPO of Examples 3, 6 and 7 at a dose of 16 to 20 IU/kg. various time points, the rats were bled and plasma prepared. The plasma was stored at 4°C until assayed. EPO activity was determined using the colorimetric assay described in Example 3. The half-lives (T_k) specific activities are reported in the following table, as well as the molecular weights, numbers of free lysines and numbers of m-PEG conjugates. As noted above, the molecular weight, and consequently the number of free lysines and number of m-PEG conjugates, were determined by SDS-gel and size exclusion chromatography.

Example	Molecular Weight	Specific Activity (Max, Cell Growth) (DA1 ² K Cells)	Of Free Lysines	# PEG'6	Тţ
Native EPO	30.4 KD	8.3 pg/ml	8		1.8 min.
3	60-65 KD	9.1 pg/ml	6	6-7	21.5 hrs.
6	40 KD	26.0 pg/ml	6	2	20 min.
7	50 KD	11.6 pg/ml	4	4	11.8 hrs.

As can be seen from the table, m-PEG-EPO conjugates prepared in accordance with the present invention have a substantial increase in circulating life when compared to native EPO. The conjugates of the present invention also have a substantially increased specific activity when compared to the lysine-conjugated EPO's, as expressed in terms of the concentration required to produce Max_k cell growth.

As will be readily appreciated, numerous variations and combinations of the features set forth above can be utilized without departing from the present invention as set forth in the claims. Such variations are not regarded as a departure from the spirit and scope of the invention, and all such modifications are intended to be included within the scope of the following claims.

15

20

25

30

35

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 1. A biologically active conjugate comprising a glycoprotein having erythropoietic activity and having at least one oxidized carbohydrate moiety covalently linked to a water-soluble non-antigenic polymer.
- 2. The conjugate of claim 1, wherein said glycoprotein is selected from the group consisting of recombinant and non-recombinant mammalian erythropoietins.
- 3. The conjugate of claim 1, wherein said polymer is a polyalkylene oxide.
- 4. The conjugate of claim 3, wherein said polyalkylene oxide is an α -substituted polyalkylene oxide.
- 5. The conjugate of claim 3, wherein said polyalkylene oxide is selected from the group consisting of polyethylene glycol homopolymers, polypropylene glycol homopolymers, alkyl-capped polyethylene oxides, bis-polyethylene oxides and copolymers or block copolymers of polyalkylene oxides.
- 6. The conjugate of claim 5, wherein said polymer is a polyethylene glycol homopolymer having a molecular weight between about 200 and about 100,000.
- 7. The conjugate of claim 6, wherein said polyethylene glycol homopolymer has a molecular weight between about 2,000 and about 20,000.
- 8. The conjugate of claim 7, wherein said polyethylene glycol homopolymer has a molecular weight of about 5,000.
- 9. The conjugate of claim 1, wherein said carbohydrate moiety is covalently linked to said polymer by a hydrazide linkage.
- 10. The conjugate of claim 1, wherein said non-antigenic polymer is selected from the group consisting of dextran and polyvinyl pyrrolidones.
- 11. The conjugate of claim 2, wherein said erythropoietins are of recombinant origin.

10

15

20

25

-18-

12. The conjugate of claim 2, wherein said erythropoietins are of non-recombinant origin.

- 13. The conjugate of claim 1, wherein said glycoprotein is of transgenic origin.
- 14. The conjugate of claim 1, wherein said conjugate comprises from about 1 to about 30 polymer chains attached to oxidized carbohydrate moieties of said glycoprotein.
- 15. The conjugate of claim 14, wherein said conjugate comprises from about 2 to about 12 polymer chains attached to oxidized carbohydrate moieties of said glycoprotein.
- 16. The conjugate of claim 15, wherein said conjugate comprises from about 4 to about 7 polymer chains attached to oxidized carbohydrate moieties of said glycoprotein.
- 17. The conjugate of claim 18, wherein said conjugate further comprises one or more polymer chains attached to ϵ -amino moieties of lysine residues of said glycoprotein.
- 18. A method of preparing a conjugate having erythropoietic activity comprising reacting a glycoprotein having erythropoietic activity and having an oxidized carbohydrate moiety, with an activated substantially non-antigenic polymer capable of linking to said carbohydrate moiety, so that a carbohydrate linked glycoprotein-polymer conjugate is formed.
- 19. The method of claim 18, wherein said polymer is a polyalkylene oxide.
- 20. The method of claim 19, wherein said polyalkylene oxide is an α -substituted polyalkylene oxide.
 - 21. The method of claim 19, wherein said polyalkylene oxide is a polyethylene glycol.
- 35 22. The method of claim 21, wherein said polyethylene glycol is a methoxypolyethylene glycol.

WO 94/28024 PCT/US94/06098

5

23. The method of claim 21, wherein said polyethylene glycol is a hydrazine-activated polyethylene glycol.

- 24. The method of claim 18, wherein said reacting step comprises reacting said glycoprotein and said activated polymer in a molar ratio of from about 1:5 to about 1:500, respectively.
- 25. The method of claim 23, wherein said molar ratio is between about 1:50 and about 1:300.
- 26. The method of claim 18, further comprising reacting said carbohydrate-linked polymer conjugate with a second activated polymer capable of linking with \(\epsilon\)-amino moieties of lysine residues of said glycoprotein.
- 27. The method of claim 26, wherein said second activated polymer comprises a polyalkylene oxide.
 - 28. A method of treating anemia comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of the conjugate of claim 1.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US94/06098

US CL. :530/395, 397, 399, 402, 411; 435/69.4; 514/8, 21; 424/280.1 B. FIELDS SEARCHED Mummum documentation searched (classification (IPC) or to both national classification symbols) U.S. : 530/395, 397, 399, 402, 411; 435/69.4; 514/8, 21; 424/280.1 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS. CAS ONLINE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y. US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see columns 3-4. Y. US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y. US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 Columns 1, 2-5. X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-28 Y. US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 Columns 1, 2-5. X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 The entire article. X. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. **Countert referring to us onal disclosure, use, exhibition or other seasons the specific masser to specific ma		ASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC 8. FIELDS SEARCHED Munitum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 530/395, 397, 399, 402, 411; 435/69.4; 514/8, 21; 424/280.1 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS. CAS ONLINE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No Y. US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y. US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see columns 3-4. Y. US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y. US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-2. Y. US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 Lolumns 1, 2-5. X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see the entire article. X. Special categories of clad documents: **Comment of predictar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to be of practicar relevance; the claimed invention cannot be special reason to the political relevance; the claimed invention cannot be special reason to specia	US CL	:C07K 15/14, 17/08, 17/10; C12N 15/16; A61K 3	7/24, 39/385, 47/48 : 424/280,1	
Mummum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 530/395, 397, 399, 402, 411; 435/69.4; 514/8, 21; 424/280.1 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS, CAS ONLINE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y. US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see columns 3-4. Y. US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y. US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-2. Y. US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 Columns 1, 2-5. X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 18-25 X. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. X. Special categories of clad documents: **Comment of practical reference: the claimed invention amount to so practical reference: the condition bus cited to undernated the riched to enablish be publication data of another claims or other special reason (see specials) give inventions: **Special categories of clad documents: **Comment of practical reference: the claimed invention cannot be special reason (see specials) give inventions: **Comment of practical reference: the claimed invention cannot be special reason (see specials) and the published on or share the international fling data or priority data claimed of comment and practical reference: the claimed invention cannot be special reason (see specials) and the published on or share the international fling data or priority data claimed of comment in states to be considered to involve as inventive sign when the document is taken above the special reason (see sp	According	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to bo	th national classification and IPC	
U.S. 530/395, 397, 399, 402, 411; 435/69.4; 514/8, 21; 424/280.1 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields scarched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS, CAS ONLINE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No Y. US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see 1-28 abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y. US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see 1-28 columns 3-4. Y. US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y. US, A, 5,102,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-28 Y. US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 Columns 1, 2-5. X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-28 X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-28 X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 18-25 X. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. X. Special categories of cited documents: 4. Special categories of cited documents: 5. Special categories of cited documents: 4. Special categories of cited documents: 5. Special categories of cited documents: 6. Special categories of cited documents: 6. Special categories of cited documents: 7. Special categories of cited documents: 8. Special categories of cited documents: 8. Special categories of cited documents: 9. Special categories of cited documents: 1. Special categories of cited docume				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS. CAS ONLINE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No Y. US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y. US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see columns 3-4. Y. US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y. US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-28 Y. US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see columns 1, 2-5. X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 The entire article. X. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. X. Special categories of cited documents: ** Occument which may three documents published on or after the international filing data or priority data chained in conflict with the explication but cited to unchained but cited to conflict with the explication categories or control with the explication c				,
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS, CAS ONLINE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y. US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see 1-28 columns 3-4. Y. US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y. US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-28 US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see columns 1,2-5. X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-28 columns 1, 2-5. X. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. X. Special extegories of clied documents: 4. Special extegories of clied documents: 5. Special extegories of clied documents: 5. Special extegories of clied documents: 6. See patent family annex. 1. Liter document information but clied to undermad by entirely on the client of involve an investive step when the document of particular relevance; the client dinvention cannot be considered down with one or more other such documents and document in special step of the same patent family and occument of particular relevance; the client dinvention cannot be considered with one or more other such document is one becoment in some step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other s	U.S. :	530/395, 397, 399, 402, 411; 435/69.4; 514/8, 21;	424/280.1	
APS. CAS ONLINE C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No Y. US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y. US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see columns 3-4. Y. US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y. US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-2. Y. US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 Columns 1, 2-5. X. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 The entire article. X. Purther documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Y. Special categories of cited documents: ** Special categories of cited documents: ** Special categories of cited documents: ** To accument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. ** Special categories of cited documents: ** To accument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. ** Special categories of cited documents: ** To accument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. ** Special categories of cited documents: ** To accument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered order or control to involve an inventive step when the document is document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to involve an inventive step when the document is considered to invol	Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to t	he extent that such documents are included	d in the fields scarched
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No Y US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see 1-28 Columns 3-4. Y US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-28 Y US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see columns 1, 2-5. X WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see the entire article. X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. **Special categories of cited documents: document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to reablish the upolication date of another clastion or other cited to reablish the publication date of another creation or other special reason (as specified) To document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document in the considered to involve an inventive step when the document in the priority date chimsed To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date chimsed *A* document member of the same patent family *A* document member of the same patent family			name of data base and, where practicable	e, search terms used)
US, 4,847,325 (SHADLE ET AL) 11 JULY 1989, see abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see columns 3-4. Y US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-28 US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see columns 1, 2-5. X WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 the entire article. X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: document effining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance: E sertier document published on or after the international filing date of principle or theory material reason (as specified) To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other measure of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive and being obtained white a since to be or more other and the oral document at the answer the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document as inventive atter when the document as inventive atter when the document is being document as inventive atter when the document as inventive atter when the document as inventive atter when the d	C. DOO	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
abstract, columns 2, 3, 9, 12-15. Y US, A, 5,103,039 (REARDAN ET AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see 1-28 columns 3-4. Y US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see 1-28 abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-2. Y US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 columns 1, 2-5. W WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 the entire article. X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. ** Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance: E artier document published on or after the international filing date or priority date document defining the publication due to be of particular relevance: ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Columns 3-4. Y US, A, 4,179,337 (DAVIS ET AL) 18 December 1979, see 1-28 abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-2. Y US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 Columns 1, 2-5. X WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 the entire article. T Special categories of cited documents: 'A Special categories of cited documents: 'See apart family annex. T date and not in coeffect with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention of the another citation or other special reason (as specified) To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document member of the same patent family	Y			1-28
abstract, columns 1-3 and claim 2. Y US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 June 1992, see columns 1-28 1-2. Y US, A, 5,089,261 (NITECKI ET AL) 18 February 1992, see 1-28 Columns 1, 2-5. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 the entire article. See patent family annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance cartier document published or or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the priority determent in the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered out or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document in the priority date chimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document in the priority date chimed in the art document invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document in the priority date chimed in the art document invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document in the priority date chimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document in the priority date chimed in the art document invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document in	Y		Γ AL) 07 APRIL 1992, see	1-28
The entire article. Variable	Y			1-28
Columns 1, 2-5. WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET AL) 01 October 1992, see 1-11, 13-16 The entire article. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: 'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance: 'E' cartier document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents. such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family	Υ	US, A, 5,122,164 (ZALIPSKY) 16 1-2.	6 June 1992, see columns	1-28
The entire article. The entire article. 18-25	Y		L) 18 February 1992, see	1-28
**Special categories of cited documents: *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance to be of particular relevance. *B* cartier document published on or after the international filing date to earlier document published on or after the international filing date. *C* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered sovel or cannot be considered sovel or cannot be considered sovel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is accombined with one or more other such documents. such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family		WO, A, 92/16555 (ZALIPSKY ET the entire article.	AL) 01 October 1992, see	
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E* cartier document published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other apecial reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *C* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered acred to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art *A* document member of the same patent family	X Furthe	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box (C. See patent family annex.	
to be of particular relevance E** cartier document published on or after the international filing date L** document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O** document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P** document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. **C** document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is accombined with one or more other such documents. such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family	Spe	•		mational filing date or priority
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority data claimed *C* document member of the same patent family *C* document member of the same patent family	to b	e of particular relevance		
special reason (as specified) O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority data claimed "Y' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family	L* docs	ument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	considered novel or cannot be consider	red to involve an inventive step
reans *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority data claimed *B* document member of the same patent family	apec	a to establish the publication date of another citation or other cial reason (as specified)	considered to involve an inventive	step when the document is
the priority date claimed document member of the same patent family	mca		being obvious to a person skilled in the	c art
USE OF IRE SCHIRL COmpletion of the international reach Data of mailing of the international angular and annual angular angul	the p	priority date claimed		•
31 AUGUST 1994 SEP 1 9 1994			SEP 1 9 1994	rch report
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Authorized officer KAY K. KIM, PH.D.	Box PCT Washington,	er of Palents and Trademarks D.C. 20231	Authorized officer KAY K. KIM, PH.D.	yza fa
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-0196 Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)(July 1992)*			Telephone No. (703) 308-0196	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US94/06098

Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages CA, A, 2,003,743 (CAPON ET AL) 23 May 1990, see page 6. J. MILTON HARRIS, editor, "POLY(ETHYLENE GLYCOL) CHEMISTRY: BIOTECHNICAL AND BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS", published 1992 by Plenum Press (N.Y.), pages 347-370, see entire document. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, Volume 83, issued April 1986, J. D. Rodwell et al, "Site-specific Covalent Modification of Monoclonal Antibodies: In vitro and In vivo Evaluations", pages 2632-2636, see entire article. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, Volume 6, issued 1991, M. L. Nucci et al, "The Therapeutic Value of Poly(ethylene glycol)-modified Proteins", pages 133-151, see entire article. Biocatalysis, Volume 2, issued 1989, Urrutigoity et al,	
Y CA, A, 2,003,743 (CAPON ET AL) 23 May 1990, see page 6. J. MILTON HARRIS, editor, "POLY(ETHYLENE GLYCOL) CHEMISTRY: BIOTECHNICAL AND BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS", published 1992 by Plenum Press (N.Y.), pages 347-370, see entire document. Y Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, Volume 83, issued April 1986, J. D. Rodwell et al, "Site-specific Covalent Modification of Monoclonal Antibodies: In vitro and In vivo Evaluations", pages 2632-2636, see entire article. Y Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, Volume 6, issued 1991, M. L. Nucci et al, "The Therapeutic Value of Poly(ethylene glycol)- modified Proteins", pages 133-151, see entire article.	
J. MILTON HARRIS, editor, "POLY(ETHYLENE GLYCOL) CHEMISTRY: BIOTECHNICAL AND BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS", published 1992 by Plenum Press (N.Y.), pages 347-370, see entire document. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, Volume 83, issued April 1986, J. D. Rodwell et al, "Site-specific Covalent Modification of Monoclonal Antibodies: In vitro and In vivo Evaluations", pages 2632-2636, see entire article. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, Volume 6, issued 1991, M. L. Nucci et al, "The Therapeutic Value of Poly(ethylene glycol)- modified Proteins", pages 133-151, see entire article.	laim No
CHEMISTRY: BIOTECHNICAL AND BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS", published 1992 by Plenum Press (N.Y.), pages 347-370, see entire document. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, Volume 83, issued April 1986, J. D. Rodwell et al, "Site-specific Covalent Modification of Monoclonal Antibodies: In vitro and In vivo Evaluations", pages 2632-2636, see entire article. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, Volume 6, issued 1991, M. L. Nucci et al, "The Therapeutic Value of Poly(ethylene glycol)- modified Proteins", pages 133-151, see entire article.	
D. Rodwell et al, "Site-specific Covalent Modification of Monoclonal Antibodies: In vitro and In vivo Evaluations", pages 2632-2636, see entire article. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, Volume 6, issued 1991, M. L. Nucci et al, "The Therapeutic Value of Poly(ethylene glycol)-modified Proteins", pages 133-151, see entire article.	
Nucci et al, "The Therapeutic Value of Poly(ethylene glycol)-modified Proteins", pages 133-151, see entire article.	
Biocatalysis, Volume 2, issued 1989, Urrutigoity et al. 1-28	-
"Biocatalysis in Organic Solvents with a Polymer-bound Horseradish Peroxidase", pages 145-149, especially pages 145 and 147.	
The Journal of Biological Chemistry, Volume 267, No. 11, issued 15 April 1992, M. Higuchi et al, "Role of Sugar Chains in the Expression of the Biological Activity of Human Erythropoietin", pages 7703-7709, especially pages 7703-7704.	